

For the Counties of Floyd, Giles, Montgomery and Pulaski, the City of Radford, and the Towns of Blacksburg, Christiansburg, Glen Lyn, Narrows, Pearisburg, Pembroke, Pulaski, and Rich Creek

Directed by the
New River Valley Hazard Mitigation Work Group

Written by Lydeana Martin
With Assistance from Shawn Utt and Phil Gilbertson
New River Valley Planning District Commission

Funding through the **Virginia Department of Emergency Management** from the **Federal Emergency Management Agency**

Research Assistance provided by Service Training for Environmental Progress interns: Theresa Kanter, Renee Sigmon, Toby Ieuter and Zhou Daquan.

New River Valley Hazard Mitigation Work Group Participants included:

Gary Boring, Coordinator, New River-Highlands Resource Conservation & Development Carl Chandler, interested citizen, Dublin Martin Chapman, Professor, Virginia Tech David Corzilius, Mitigation Planning Coordinator, Virginia Department of Emergency Management Ed Crawford, Co-owner, Rainwater System, Inc. Stan Crigger, Emergency Management Coordinator, Pulaski County Terry Dulaney, Emergency Operations Planner, Christiansburg Carol Edmonds, Assistant County Administrator, Montgomery County Michael Emlaw, Meteorologist, National Weather Service Jim Epperly, Emergency Services Coordinator, Christiansburg Joey Fagan, Karst Specialist, Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation Pat Fluke, Volunteer, American Red Cross Ryan Funk, Criminal Justice Planner, Radford City Police Mike Futrell, GIS Administrator, Draper-Aden Wayne Garst, Fire Code Official, Blacksburg Marty Gordon, Reporter, WFNR Radio Barbara Guthrie, Co-director, Giles County Retired Senior Volunteer Program Nichole Hair, Town Planner, Christiansburg (Chairperson) Barry Helms, Assistant Town Manager, Christiansburg Wayne Kirk, Security Officer, Radford Army Ammunition Plan Doyle Lyons, Assistant to President, New River Community College Doug Marrs, Sheriff, Montgomery County Kerry McGrath, interested citizen, Giles County COPE Dan McKinney, Town Planner, Blacksburg Laura Polant, Forestry Technician, Virginia Department of Forestry David Quesenberry, Assistant Town Manager, Pulaski Morris Reece, Administrator, Carilion Giles Memorial Hospital Dianne Rhody-Scott, Social Worker, Giles County Jesse Richardson, Assistant Professor, Virginia Tech David Ridpath, Director of Planning and Community Development, Radford Tom Roberts, Stormwater Specialist, Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation Dave Rundgren, Executive Director, New River Valley Planning District Commission Steve Sandy, Zoning Administrator, Montgomery County Lee Simpkins, Fire Chief, Radford Margaret Smith, interested citizen, Montgomery County J.B. Sutphin, Director of Site and Infrastructure, Virginia Tech David Tickner, Community Development Director, Pulaski County Joe Tripp, Director, Western Virginia EMS Neal Turner, Deputy/Emergency Services Coordinator, Montgomery County Linda Vaught, Town Council, Pembroke Ken Vittum, Town Manager, Pearisburg Bill Webb, Fire Chief, Town of Pulaski Ford Wirt, Emergency Services Coordinator, Floyd County Martha Wirt, Facilities Manager, Virginia Tech Joseph Zagrapan, Government Security Manager, Radford Army Ammunition Plant Also: Virginia Tech Planning Students and Participants in these Special Meetings: Regional Planners Forum, Local Government Managers Meeting, Land-Use Disturbance Permitting Workshop, and Town meetings in Pembroke and Pulaski.

Research Assistance provided by Service Training for Environmental Progress interns: Theresa Kanter, Renee Sigmon, Toby Ieuter and Zhou Daquan., all of Virginia Tech. Special map assistance provided by Michelle Mathis, a Radford University geology student, who worked with Montgomery County.

New River Valley Hazard Mitigation Plan TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary	i
Introduction	1
Hazard Mitigation: What is It?	2
Why is it important?	
US Hazard Mitigation History	3
Virginia Hazard Mitigation History	
Hazard Mitigation Links to Sustainability	
Virginia's New River Valley Hazard Mitigation History	
Virginia's New River Valley (Background)	9
Natural Features	
General History	13
Population and Economy	
Housing	15
Critical Infrastructure	
Future Growth Areas	18
New River Valley Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan	20
Planning Process	
Mission and Regional Goals	
New River Valley Hazards, Risks and Mitigation Options	24
Hazard Identification	24
Risk Assessment	25
Mitigation	26
Overview of Assessments	28
Natural Hazard Assessments	30
Floods	31
Drought	80
Wildfire	
Geologic Hazards: Landslides, Sinkholes, and Earthquakes	89
Severe Weather: Hail, Lightning, Cold, Ice and Wind	96
Lessons Learned	98
Challenges	98
Successes	100
Conclusions and Priorities	102
Goals, Objectives and Strategies	103
Projects and Implementation	
Technical Capacity and Implementation	
Local Hazard Plan Summaries and Project Lists	115

Floyd County	115
Giles County and Towns	116
Montgomery County	118
Pulaski County	120
City of Radford	121
Town of Blacksburg	122
Town of Christiansburg	123
Town of Pulaski	124
NRV Hazard Mitigation Plan Maintenance	125
_	

Acronyms

References

Appendices

Appendix A. Mitigation Assistance: FEMA and other Federal Assistance Available

Appendix B. Virginia Agencies and Mitigation Roles

Appendix C. Geologic Map of the New River Valley

Appendix D. Mitigation Meeting Newspaper Articles and Meeting Attendance

Appendix E. Shrink-swell Soil Map of Montgomery County

Appendix F. Risk Assessment Methodology: Flooding and Wildfire

Appendix G. Natural and Beneficial Floodplain Functions

Appendix H. Detailed Project Lists with Analysis

Large Map Supplement under separate cover.

List of Tables

Table 1. Major U.S. Disasters, 1989-1994	4
Table 2. Virginia's Relative Risks (from Virginia Plan)	
Table 3. Presidential Disaster Declarations in Virginia, 1969-2002	
Table 4. Population for NRV Localities, 2000 Census	
Table 5. Key Economic Data in the NRV	
Table 6. 2000 General Housing Characteristics in the NRV	15
Table 7. Housing Units By Jurisdiction, 2000	
Table 8. When Housing Units Were Built (%) by Jurisdiction	17
Table 9. NRV Hazard Mitigation Planning Process Timeline	
Table 10. Priority Hazards in the NRV	28
Table 11. NRV Vulnerability Analysis and Mitigation Prioritization	29
Table 12. Explanation of Hazard Assessment Numbers	29
Table 13. Major Regional Natural Hazard Events by Decade	
Table 14. Value of Structures in Pembroke	45
Table 15. High-Risk Structures in Eastern Montgomery County	55
Table 16. Summary of NRV Risk Data	
Table 17. National Flood Insurance Policy & Loss Statistics through 2002	72
Table 18. NRV Localities and Past/Existing Flood Mitigation Measures	73
Table 19. NRV Repetitive Loss Properties	79
Table 20. NRV Population and Percent on Private Well or Spring	81
Table 21. New River Drought Report and Surveillance Summary	82
Table 22. Estimate of Agricultural Losses, Drought 2002	83
Table 23. Forest Fire, 5-Year Average in NRV Counties	
Table 24. Earthquake Intensity and Magnitude Scales	93
Table 25. Severe Weather Histories, Risk Assessments, and Mitigation Options	96
Table 26. NRV Localities' Technical Capacity: Human Resources	113
Table 27. NRV Localities' Technical Capacity: Geographic Information Systems	113
List of Figures	
Figure 1. Emergency Management Functions	3
Figure 2. Disaster Declarations in Virginia, 1965 to 2000	6
Figure 3. Map of the New River Valley	
Figure 4. Topography in the New River Valley	
Figure 5. Geologic Map of the New River Valley	
Figure 6. Soil Survey Data in Virginia	
Figure 7. Land Classification in the New River Valley	
Figure 8. Virginia Number of Mobile Homes	
Figure 9. Future Growth Areas in the NRV	
Figure 10. New River Valley Hazard Mitigation Planning Work Group	
Figure 11. Number of Localities Affected by Declared Disasters	
Figure 12. NRV Mitigation Priorities by Hazard	28

Figure 13	. 100-Year Floodplain	.31
Figure 14	. Floyd County's Flood-prone Areas	.38
Figure 15	. Giles County's Flood-prone Areas	40
Figure 16	. Glen Lyn: Parcels in the 100-Year Floodplain by Total Value At-Risk/Acre	.41
Figure 17	. Narrows: Parcels in the 100-Year Floodplain by Total Value At-Risk/Acre	.43
Figure 18	. Pearisburg: Parcels in the 100-Year Floodplain by Total Value At-Risk/Acre	.44
Figure 19	. Town of Pembroke Floodplain	.46
Figure 20	. Doe Creek Floodplain in Eastern Pembroke	.47
Figure 21	. Little Stony Floodplain in Northern Pembroke	.48
Figure 22	. Little Stony Floodplain in Southern Pembroke	.49
Figure 23	. Rich Creek: Parcels in the 100-Year Floodplain by Total Value At-Risk/Acre	.51
Figure 24	. Montgomery County's Flood-prone Areas	.53
Figure 25	. South Fork of Roanoke River: Parcels in the 100-Year Floodplain by Total Value A	t-
Risk/Acre	<u>)</u>	.56
Figure 27	. Plum Creek: Parcels in the 100-Year Floodplain by Total Value At-Risk/Acre	.57
Figure 29	. Pulaski County's Flood-prone Areas	.63
Figure 30	. Big Reed Island: Parcels in the 100-Year Floodplain by Total Value At-Risk/Acre	.64
Figure 31	. Little Walker Creek: Parcels in the 100-Year Floodplain by Total Value At-Risk/A	.65
Figure 32	. NRV's Large, Populated Areas at High-Risk for Flooding	.69
Figure 33	. Three Dangerous Assumptions When Driving into Water	.71
	. Effects of Shallow Water on Autos	
Figure 35	. General Flood Mitigation Opportunities in the NRV	.76
Figure 36	. Value of Trees	.78
Figure 37	. Natural Functions of Floods and Floodplains	.78
Figure 38	. U.S. Drought Monitor September 2002	.80
Figure 39	. Sample of New Versus Replacement Wells, Floyd County 2000-02	.82
Figure 40	. NRV Historic Wildfire Incidence and Damage	.86
Figure 41	. NRV Wildfire Risk Map	.87
Figure 42	. Landslide Incidence and Susceptibility in Virginia	.90
Figure 43	. Caves and Karst in Virginia	.91
Figure 44	. Karst in 3-Dimensions	.91
Figure 45	. 1897 Earthquake Map	.93
Figure 46	. Seismic Hazard Map	.94
Figure 47	NRV Earthquake Elevated Hazard Area	.94
Figure 48	. Interaction Among Natural Hazards1	02

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 requires all localities in the United States to complete and adopt a hazard mitigation plan by November 1, 2004 to maintain eligibility for certain Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) funds. A hazard mitigation plan researches past hazards, assesses future risks, and delineates a long-term plan to reduce future risks. With guidance and funding from the Virginia Department of Emergency Management (VDEM) and FEMA, stakeholders from the New River Valley have created this New River Valley Hazard Mitigation Plan as a roadmap for reducing risks to life and property posed by natural hazards.

Hazards, Risks and Current Mitigation

NRV localities have had at least seven Presidentially-declared disasters since 1965. Assessment of NRV hazard events in the past 125 years reveals that, in terms of frequency, severity and mitigation opportunities, the most serious hazards are flooding, drought and wildfire. A significant earthquake is possible but not likely. Winter storms, landslides, new sinkhole formations, and severe lightning are fairly frequent, but not often extensive in impact to life and property.

Areas at high-risk of flooding are fairly well documented by Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM's) and Studies, produced since the 1970's by the National Flood Insurance Program. In just the largest flood hazards areas in the NRV, there are more than \$70 million in structures in the 100-year floodplain. Some urban flood areas are not reflected on FIRM's, due to the age of the maps. All major NRV localities participate in the National Flood Insurance Program, which also requires some restriction on new development in floodplains. There are only 433 flood insurance policies in the entire NRV, covering \$47.7 million in property. Additionally, an automated rain and stream gauge system alerts forecasters of imminent flooding in some areas. Only one town (Blacksburg) has implemented a reverse-911 warning system. Only one town (Pulaski) has drafted its own flood mitigation plan and used federal money to remove houses from the floodplain. Several towns have stepped up drainage maintenance and clearance. Following several drownings, there is a multi-jurisdictional effort to create a swift-water rescue team.

The region suffered a severe drought from 1998-2002, impacting agriculture, water supplies, and wildfires. In 2002 alone, there were \$10 million in agricultural losses. Moreover, hundreds of residential springs and wells went dry. There are several projects ongoing to extend public water service. Also, there is a regional water study underway to assess the feasibility of interconnecting public water systems to increase security and decrease costs.

In April 2003, after 6 months of significant rains, two 100-acre fires burned simultaneously in the NRV. Though the region has not suffered huge wildfires, a combination of factors makes wildfire a significant risk in parts of the region: steep slopes, large areas of National Forests, dead and dying trees (due to disease and

infestations), limited water infrastructure, and new houses being built in or near the forests. Federal and state forestry officials have many mitigation programs, including dry hydrants for areas without public water.

Additional Mitigation Opportunities

Mitigation opportunities for all major natural hazards include: collecting more hazard and risk data, better utilizing data in geographic information systems, educating the public and elected officials about hazards and mitigation opportunities, using public policy to encourage wise development, and providing for electronic warning systems before or during hazard events. Additionally, for flooding, federal and state funding may be sought to help move repetitive-loss properties, when owners are willing to participate. There also may be more opportunities for regional cooperation, such as a damage-assessment team to help document damages quickly.

Conclusion

There are many projects that would cost-effectively mitigate natural hazards in the New River Valley in the long-term. However, the need for mitigation actions is only readily apparent locally during a major event. Later, the costs and competing needs are overwhelming. Consequently, funding of these locally-initiated mitigation projects will principally need to come from federal and state agencies, which have recognized the value of mitigation investments. These investments will spur more local interest, and most importantly, protect lives and property in the New River Valley.

See local plans on pages 115 to 124. See detailed project lists in Appendix H.